

- Chair overviews draft budget, notes edits to the fiscal years totals and data system costs regarding development of funds and payments, and milestones, Executive Director hiring timeline (current fiscal year being 2026).
- David F. iterates RFP and vendor discussions on costs for data system and expected costs, as referenced in other compact commission.
 - Chair notes less costly between compact models; Commission Chair has had discussions with CompactConnect and projects costs may be significantly lower.
- David F. references privilege model. States issuing information for SW multistate model. Needs for two full time staff members, questions if roles can be funneled into one position.
 - Chair iterates a lot of licensing work will be processed at the state-level; mentions ASWB's potential role and Executive Director role. MOU is still in process with ASWB. Unsure if commission may need full time staff.
 - David F. questions 5% increase industry standard and if it will apply.
 - Chair mentions uncertainty on 5% increase and applicability to this context.
 - Jamie H. agrees (in chat) that commission may not need 2 full time employees.
 - Heather Foley mentions this draft budget is three times what she'd proposed in recent years, noting development and need for roles like the Executive Director, database development changes. Proposes her help to adjust the draft budget to be more "in-line".
 - Does not believe the HRSA grant is applicable; only through CSG/ASWB. Notes "unknowns". Mentions her current work for ASWB's budget and need for distinct details for their process.
- David F. asks for reference to travel costs for previous annual meeting in Kentucky.
 - Dan L. believes CSG can provide those details. Will distribute to the committee.
 - David F. asks how those costs may compare to this budget. Asks for clarity for finalized details for upcoming meetings.
 - Chair asks for schedule. Kaitlyn Bison iterates next Finance meeting is after November Full Commission meeting.
 - Chair will continue conversation with ASWB. Asks for more details and questions to refine budget for later advancement.
 - Chair asks for input.
 - Jamie H. acknowledges "unknowns", and asks for objective for drafted budget presented at the Full Commission Meeting regarding RFP, ASWB, adoptions.
 - Chair iterates that there will be caveats mentioned; Wants to continue pushing forward the budget despite unknowns; Mentions similar process in other commissions.
 - Jamie H. agrees that overestimating the budget items may be more helpful, with expectation for decrease.

- Chair reiterates continued work with Heather on budget; Particularly on the database costs.
- Chair mentions the estimated needed thresholds for fee revenue. “Educated guess” regarding the number of multistate licenses;
 - Is unaware of calculations from other states. Proposes a survey to collect information to form estimate.
 - Kaitlyn references the implementation guide.
 - David F. mentions standard/flat amounts collected on fees; iterates the difficulty and transition of state licensing boards amidst increased mobility in obtaining licenses with board. Does not recommend a flat fee.
 - Recommends nuance in privilege model regarding volume of licensees and “time of issuing” – estimating how many practitioners may apply, and how much to charge. Distinction between state fees and administrative fees that return to commission.
 - Chair concurs with recommendation and analysis offered by David F. References Ohio’s
 - Jamie H. and Barry N. agree with recommendation, as well.
 - Chair asks for further comments on the issue of fees.
 - Barry N. asks about already-existing compacts, and the number of licensees that presently participate in multistate licensure.
 - Chair mentions the Counseling compact, and its current data being limited.
 - Kaitlyn B. references PT compact and Nursing compact with varying models and licensee scale.
 - David F. mentions PsyPact and the 12-15% of licensees that use the model for accessibility. Mentions it is not an entirely comparable example. Other examples reach 20%.
 - Kaitlyn B. proposes reaching out to boards to gather relevant information.
 - Chair agrees. Mentions that the boards would have a better understanding jurisdictionally.
 - David F. references high interests noted in previous surveying of Social Workers in Kansas regarding multistate benefit and costs, even at bachelors and masters levels.
 - Chair agrees; mentions home state factor for review by state boards.
 - David F. clarifies his state board has proposed a lower fee for adjustments.
 - Chair and Kaitlyn will begin surveying boards.
 - David F. mentions he doesn’t want too low of a fee budgeted but expresses need for balance for unanticipated costs.
 - Chair agrees.
 - Chair reiterates financial contribution from ASWB aiding in

maintaining lower costs.

- Heather F. mentions that there will be a continued need for an influx of diverse funding, based on other previous budgets.
 - Chair reiterates the financial “cushion” may alleviate some of the gap.
- Chair asks for additional comments on budget and fees. None offered.
 - Chair will continue to work on matter leading up to full commission meeting in November.

3:45pm – 3:55pm

Delegate Questions and
Comments

Chair

- David F. asks about service on the committees and if appointments are based on calendar year or standards.
 - Kaitlyn B. notes issue is located in the bylaws.
 - Samantha clarifies that committee appointments should be followed preceding elections.
 - Two year terms. Wants to confirm when appointments were made for calendaring purposes.
 - Confirmed current appointments are valid until 2027. Currently on a volunteer basis which is flexible for rotations on/off committees.

3:55pm – 4:00pm

Public Questions and Comments

Chair

- None received.

4:00pm

Adjourn*

Chair

- Barry N. motions to adjourn. David F. seconds. Motion passes.

* Indicates agenda item requires Finance Committee vote